## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

JANE DOE,

v.

Plaintiff,

Civ. No. JKB-23-02900

THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS,

Defendant.

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

On March 18, 2024, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order declaring its intention to certify a question to the Supreme Court of Maryland addressing the constitutionality of the Maryland Child Victims Act of 2023, 2023 Md. Laws ch. 5 (S.B.686) (codified at Md. Code Ann., Cts & Jud. Proc. §5-117). (ECF No. 29.) In that Memorandum and Order, the Court invited the parties to submit any objections to the Court's formulation of the proposed certified question. (*Id.*)

The Court has reviewed the parties' filings in response to the proposed certified question. (ECF Nos. 30, 31.) Defendant indicates that it has no objection to how the question was phrased. (ECF No. 31.) Plaintiff, however, objects to the question and has provided the Court with a proposed alternative framing. (ECF No. 30.) The Court understands Plaintiff's objection to be that the Court's proposed wording was improperly suggestive of an answer to the question in the affirmative (*i.e.*, an answer that the statute does violate the Maryland Constitution). Any such suggestiveness was not intentional, and the Court's intent is to present the issue in a neutral manner.

In furtherance of this goal, the Court has carefully reviewed Plaintiff's proposed rewording of the question and re-examined the Court's original wording of the question in light of Plaintiff's objection. The Court finds that Plaintiff's proposed wording does not clarify the issues and may implicate other issues that need not be resolved by the state court.

The Court remains satisfied that the proposed certified question, as originally worded, is a generally fair presentation of the issue before the Court. However, the Court finds that the word "retroactive," which appeared in its original proposed certified question, is unnecessary and could possibly be construed as reflecting the Court's intent to improperly shade the issue in favor of one side. For this reason, the Court will remove the word "retroactive" from the certified question.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Objection (ECF No. 30) is SUSTAINED IN PART and OVERRULED IN PART. A separate Order will issue, certifying the following question to the Supreme Court of Maryland: Does the Maryland Child Victims Act of 2023, 2023 Md. Laws ch. 5 (S.B. 686), (codified at Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 5-117), constitute an impermissible abrogation of a vested right in violation of Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights?

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

M. Breden

James K. Bredar Chief Judge

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

JANE DOE, \*

Plaintiff, \*

v. \* Civ. No. JKB-23-02900

THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS,

Defendant. \*

## ORDER

Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Certification of Questions Act, Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. §§ 12-601–12-613, and Maryland Rule 8-305, and in aid of this Court's correct resolution of issues presented in this case, it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The Court CERTIFIES the following question to the Supreme Court of Maryland:
  - Does the Maryland Child Victims Act of 2023, 2023 Md. Laws ch. 5 (S.B. 686), (codified at Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 5-117), constitute an impermissible abrogation of a vested right in violation of Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights?
- The answer to this question is dispositive to the resolution of the Defendant's currently pending Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (ECF No. 18), and there is no controlling Maryland appellate decision, constitutional provision, or statute.
- 3. The Supreme Court of Maryland, acting as the receiving court, may reformulate the question herein certified.
- 4. For the purpose of providing the "[t]he facts relevant to the question, showing fully the nature of the controversy out of which the question arose," Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud.

Proc. §12-606, the Court sets forth the following facts:1

- a. Plaintiff Jane Doe has brought this action against the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (the "LDS Church"), alleging negligence and recklessness in connection with its failure to protect her from sexual abuse committed by an LDS Church minister when she was a minor. (Compl., ECF No. 4.) Plaintiff filed the Complaint in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, Maryland, and Defendant timely removed the action to this Court in October 2023, citing this Court's diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. (Notice of Removal, ECF No. 1.)
- b. The alleged sexual abuse occurred at an LDS Church congregation in Camp Springs, Maryland between approximately 1983 and 1985. (*See* Compl. at ¶¶ 25–35, ECF No. 4 at 5–6.) The parties have stipulated to the fact that Plaintiff turned 18 years old in 1991. (ECF No. 20.)
- c. The parties appear to agree that Plaintiff's claims would be barred as untimely under Maryland law, were it not for the recent enactment of the Maryland Child Victims Act of 2023 ("CVA"), 2023 Md. Laws ch. 5 (S.B. 686) (codified at Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 5-117). The CVA provides that, effective October 1, 2023, "an action for damages arising out of an alleged incident or incidents of sexual abuse that occurred while the victim was a minor may be filed at any time," notwithstanding any statute of limitations, statute of repose, or any other law, provided that the plaintiff is still alive at the time the lawsuit is commenced. *Id.*
- d. Defendant argues that the CVA, by abolishing a previously applicable statute of limitations, violates Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These facts are excerpted verbatim from portions of this Court's March 18, 2024 Memorandum and Order. (ECF No. 29.)

5. The Defendant shall be treated as the Appellant in the certification procedure, and the Plaintiff shall be treated as the Appellee. The Attorney General of Maryland has been granted Intervenor status in this case (see ECF No. 29 at 3-4); this Court takes no position on what standing and status, if any, Intervenor shall have in the certification procedure and

instead defers that question to the judgment of the Supreme Court of Maryland.

6. The Plaintiff and the Defendant are DIRECTED to each provide a check to the Clerk of

this Court payable to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Maryland for their respective

halves of the filing fee for docketing regular appeals, pursuant to Maryland Rule 8-305(b),

within 7 days of the date this Order is docketed.

7. Upon receipt of the filing fee referenced in Paragraph 6, the Clerk of this Court is

DIRECTED to transmit to the Supreme Court of Maryland, under the official seal of this

Court, the original and seven copies of this Order, and seven copies of all other docket

entries in this case, together with the filing fee.

8. The Clerk of this Court is DIRECTED to otherwise fulfill any request for all or part of the

record in this matter upon notification from the Clerk of Court for the Supreme Court of

Maryland.

9. This case shall remain STAYED with respect to proceedings in this Court until this Court

orders otherwise.

10. The names and addresses of counsel of record in this matter are as follows:

a. Plaintiff Jane Doe:

Renee Elizabeth Franchi Andreozzi & Foote

> 4503 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

717-525-9124

Email: renee@vca.law

3

Ryan Rudy Dickriede
 Holzman & Dickriede
 233 E. Redwood Street
 Suite 400J
 Baltimore, MD 21202
 410-539-4222
 Email: ryan@hd-attorneys.com

- b. Defendant the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints:
  - Allen M Gardner
    Latham & Watkins LLP
    555 11th Street NW
    Suite 1000
    Washington, DC 20004
    202-637-2200
    Email: allen.gardner@lw.com
  - Sarah Gragert
     Latham & Watkins LLP

     555 11th Street NW
     Suite 1000

     Washington, DC 20004
     202-637-2200
     Email: sarah.gragert@lw.com
- c. Intervenor the Attorney General of Maryland:
  - Jeffrey Luoma
     Maryland Office of the Attorney General
     200 St. Paul Place
     20th Floor
     Baltimore, MD 21202
     410-576-6441
     Email: jluoma@oag.state.md.us

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 2024.

Dame K. Bulan

James K. Bredar